

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,163	08	3/26/2003	Salman Akram	2269-3930.3US (99-0051.03	3538	
24247	7590	07/21/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
TRASK B			TRINH, N	TRINH, MINH N		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -			3729		

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·			TW				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
		10/648,163	AKRAM ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Minh Trinh	3729					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status				•				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	lanuary 2005.						
2a)□		s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Applicat	tion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey stion is required if the drawing.	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to method for fabricating an interposer, classified in class 29, subclass 830.
- II. Claims 20-25, drawn to a method for fabricating an interposer, classified in class 29, subclass 846.
- III. Claims 26-29, drawn to a method for fabricating an interposer, classified in class 29, subclass 840.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has its own mode of operations, functions and effects such as in the invention I has utility by its self such as partially selectively consolidating unconsolidated material to form a first portion of the fence, etc vice versus the determining an envelope defining limits of inner and outer surface of the one fence, etc. of invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has its own mode of operations, functions and effects such as: invention I has utility by its self such as partially selectively consolidating unconsolidated material to form a first portion of the

selected regions of unconsolidated material to at least partially consolidated in the

fence, etc vice versus the directing of a focused bean of radiation onto a surface of

selected regions to form a first portion of the fence of invention III. See MPEP §

806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together

in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has its own mode of

operations, functions and effects such as: invention II has utility by its self such as

forming at least a portion of the fence as a series of superimposed contiguous, etc vice

versus the directing of a focused bean of radiation onto a surface of selected regions of

unconsolidated material to at least partially consolidated in the selected regions to form

a first portion of the fence of invention III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the

search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

2. If one of the inventions I-III is elected, further restriction to one of the following

species:

<u>Species</u> **1A-** drawn to a method for fabrication of an interposer, readable on figures 1-5, (embodiment 1).

- **1B-** drawn to a method for fabrication of an interposer, readable on figures 6-6A, (embodiment 2).
- 1C- drawn to a method for fabrication of an interposer, readable on figure 7, (embodiment 3).
- **1D** drawn to a method for fabrication of an interposer, readable on figure 8, (embodiment 4).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect <u>a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and <u>a listing of all claims</u> <u>readable thereon</u>, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Brick G. Power (reg. 38,581) to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter VO can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mt July 12, 2005

Minh Trinh
Primary Examiner